Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And really, I have no

questions for Mr. Natsios. Just to comment that as long as we have

been dealing with this issue, it seems to me that we have had really

good people in the field. There is no one that I can think of that

I have had more confidence in terms of his ability to actually accomplish

some of the goals that we set out for him, Mr. Natsios,

and I want to commend you, sir, for what you have done and what

you expect you will be doing.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I just have one question, Mr. Natsios. And it deals—going back

to the south for a moment and specifically the revenues from oil,

the $73 million a month you mentioned going in to the south. And

I remember talking—I don’t know if it was to you or someone

else—about this several months ago, but that a significant portion

of that money was being put in an account, if you will, to take care

of future needs, especially the potential future needs of a military

in the south. I think that is probably a good idea. What I was wondering,

however, is, recognizing the severe poverty of that part of

the world, this country in particular, the allure that a large

amount of money has, especially sitting there, the plight of the

southerners and to the extent that they were looking for some sort

of change, significant change in their life economically speaking, at

the conclusion of the conflict with the north. What is the morale

like in the south? Do they expect to have—you know, are they disappointed

that more has not happened from the oil money that is

coming in? What is the issue of corruption? To what extent does

it exist? And could it be problematic in terms of an eventual solution?

And how about the morale issue in the south? Do

people look at the government as being helpful? The rising expectations,

are they being met?

I remember Yei.